Ethics of Socio-behavioral Research and Community Engagement

Prof Stella Neema
Chair MAKSS REC

Dept of Sociology and Anthropology. MAK
12th ANREC Conference
21-22 October, 2025
Kampala

Socio-Behavioral Science Research (SBR)

- Focuses on individual and group behaviors, including decisionmaking, emotions, motivations, and social interactions.
- Explores the psychological and social factors that underlie these behaviors
- Explores how and why people behave the way they do in certain situations and how this might impact human health and wellbeing
- Lived experiences (phenomenology)

Social Behavioral Research

- Systematic investigation of human attitudes, beliefs, interactions, and behaviors
- Used qualitative and quantitative methods
 - Positivist and interpretive paradigms
- Explores how and why people behave the way they do in certain situations and how this might impact human health and wellbeing
 - Examples:
 - Educational interventions, Public health surveys, sociological/anthropological/political sciences studies etc
 - Social experiments

Understanding the community



Socio-behavioral Research Ethics (SBRE)

- SBRE are principles and guidelines that ensure studies involving human subjects are conducted responsibly, protecting participants' rights, safety, and wellbeing.
- Key principles include obtaining informed consent, protecting confidentiality, avoiding harm, and ensuring justice and fairness in the research process.
- Focus on human participants and the special vulnerability in **social contexts** (e.g., power imbalances, reputational harm)
- Just like in Biomedical Sciences research, ethics is vital for the integrity,
 credibility, and validity of the research

Aim: To protect participants and uphold the integrity of the research process.

Ethical Principles

- Respect for persons: SBRs have the obligation to respect individual autonomy and protect those with diminished autonomy; give sufficient information for participants to make voluntary, informed decision to participate
- Beneficence and non-maleficence: SBRs maximize potential benefits while minimizing potential harms to participants.
- Justice: Participants selection should be fair, and the burdens and benefits of research should be distributed equitably.
- Informed consent: Participants fully informed about the purpose, methods, potential uses, and risks of the research before agreeing to take part even with minimal risk studies
- Confidentiality and privacy: respect of he privacy of participants and protection he confidentiality of the information they provide must be protected and maintained.

Community Engagement IN SBR

- is the process of working **collaboratively** with groups of people affiliated by geographic proximity, special interests, or similar situations to address issues affecting their well-being.
- **CE goes beyond** recruitment of participants and dissemination of findings.
- CE is a dynamic, continuous process built on trust, transparency, and mutual respect
- Involving the community in all stages of the research.

SBRE and Community Engagement (CE):

Ethical Principles provide the core guidance for research involving human participants and are fundamental to CE

Ethical Principle	CE Application in CE
Respect for Persons: Individuals should be treated as autonomous agents	Ensure voluntary participation and informed consent is truly understood within the cultural context
Beneficence: Maximize benefits and minimize potential harms	Design research for maximum community benefit (e.g., PAR, actionoriented results
Justice: Fair distribution of research benefits and burdens	Avoidance of exploitation of vulnerable populations; ensure the community receives fair compensation and access to the resulting interventions/benefits

Beyond participants to Community

 Respect for Community by recognizing and respecting the community as a whole, including its culture, values, and established leadership.

Key features of Models of CE in SBR

- Community Advisory Boards (CABs): Formal structures for continuous community input and oversight.
- Participatory Action Research (PAR)/CBPR A collaborative approach where communities co-identify research problems, co-design interventions, and co-evaluate results.
- Capacity Building: Empowering stakeholders and communities through targeted training and education.
- Community-Led Initiatives: Leveraging local knowledge and networks for effective outreach, education, and peer support, especially for marginalized and underserved populations.
- Partnership Development: Establishing long-term collaborations with nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) and government departments to ensure sustainability and broader impact.

CE and stages of the research: examples from SBR Protocols

Stage of Research	Example of Community Engagement in SBR
Problem Identification	Co-develop research questions that address community-defined needs (Sometimes not done)
Design/Methods	Review and provide feedback on protocols, measures, and recruitment strategies (done with LCs, VHTs, gatekeepers)
Data Collection	Recruit and train community members as research staff (e.g., young researchers (YEP), interviewers/RAs/Guides).
Analysis/Interpret ation	Validate findings; help interpret results within the local context-different levels not only national/invite (mostly done)
Dissemination	Co-present findings to community stakeholders in accessible formats. Co-authors

Ethical Challenges in SBR and CE

- Power Dynamics: Because researchers frequently possess institutional or academic power, CE efforts are essential to reduce and counterbalance this inherent disparity actively
- Informed Consent: Obtaining consent at both the individual and community level (often requires engaging community leaders)
- Confidentiality vs. Context: In small or tightly-knit communities, anonymity can be difficult to maintain, increasing the risk of identification.
- Community Misrepresentation: Research findings could unintentionally stigmatize or inaccurately portray the community.
- "Data extractors": Conducting research, taking the data, and leaving without returning results or engaging in a lasting relationship (highly unethical).

Promising practices for Ethical CE in SBR

- Prepare before, during and after phases
- Know the community- mistrust and exploitation.
- Establish partnerships early and involve them in defining the research questions and design- community-identified needs and priorities.
 - Early and Continuous Engagement: Engage with the community before solutions or research protocols are decided
- Share power and build capacity —distribute power, resources, and responsibility equitably between academic and community partners.
- Seek informed consent and broader approval.
- Reciprocity and Mutual Benefit
- Transparency and Communication
- Provide ongoing feedback throughout the project lifecycle.

Conclusion and Reflections

- While SBR and biomedical research differ in scope and methods, both are united by a common set of core ethical concerns
- Ethical SBR is inseparable from good CE as the goal is to shift from studying participants to partnering with collaborators; a continuous process
- CE transforms research validity (making it more relevant) and impact (making it more actionable)
- Prioritize building long-term, continuous engagement over one-off data collection for meaningful outcomes
- Effective and ethical conduct of SBR depends on robust and reciprocal community engagement beyond mere consultation, a committed partnership between researchers and the community is essential to ensure studies are culturally appropriate, ethically sound, and directly relevant to local needs.
- Adopting participatory models not only enhances the scientific rigor and validity of the
 research but is also a moral imperative for respecting the autonomy, dignity, and privacy of
 participants, ultimately fostering trust and maximizing the positive impact of SBR on Ugandan
 society.

Deep in the community during data collection: Privacy is paramount



THANK YOU